Colloid Formation Rates of Radionuclides Produced from Cu Foils in Water Bombarded with 120-GeV Protons
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Radionuclide dissolution and radio-colloid formation in water under high-energy proton irradiation were investigated using a simple experimental apparatus. A bottle containing Cu foils immersed in pure water was irradiated with a 120-GeV proton beam. After irradiation, the radioactivities of various radionuclides in the water, Cu foil, and colloidal and ion forms that were separated by post-irradiation ultrafiltration of the water were measured by γ-ray spectrometry. The measured radionuclides were 7Be, 24Na, 28Mg, 38S, 39Cl, 42K, 43K, 44mSc, 46Sc, 47Sc, 48Sc, 48Cr, 52Mn, 56Mn, 52Fe, 55Co, 56Co, 57Ni, and 61Cu. Although the radionuclides (except for 7Be produced from O nuclei of water) were ejected from the Cu foils into the water by recoil, the Sc, Cr, and Fe isotopes were missing from the water. The colloid formation rates of the Mg, Mn, Co, Ni, and Cu isotopes were approximately 30%. The colloid formation rates of 7Be, 24Na, 42K, and 43K were 1.8–3.9%. We conclude that the radionuclide dissolution depends on the physical process and that radionuclide behavior after ejection into the water strongly depends on elemental characteristics. The cross-section ratio of 3H and 7Be in the water was also measured.
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I. Introduction

Cooling-water systems for high energy accelerators at J-PARC1) in Japan are drained and refilled at regular intervals. Radionuclides such as 7Be and 22Na are produced in the cooling water or accelerator components by nuclear reactions. The radionuclides are then dissolved into the cooling water via physical and chemical processes. The radionuclides in the cooling water must be collected in demineralizers in order to reduce radioactivity below the control limit for discharge. The demineralizers adsorb ions on the ion-exchange resins. However, when radionuclides partially form radio-colloids, these radio-colloids are not efficiently captured by ion-exchange resins. This inefficient capture results in unsatisfactory recovery of the radioactivity by the demineralizers. In a previous study, we observed the weak retention of radio-colloids in a demineralizer in the case of the cooling-water system of the K2K target and magnetic horns at KEK in Japan.2) Information on colloid formation of radionuclides in cooling water in high-energy accelerators is important to control the radioactivity. However, this phenomenon is not well understood owing to the lack of experimental data. Therefore, in this study, radionuclide dissolution and radio-colloid formation in water were investigated through the use of a simple experimental apparatus. Because cooling water in radiation fields of high-energy accelerators is often in contact with metallic copper, which is used to fabricate accelerator components, water-immersed Cu foils were irradiated with 120-GeV protons. The dissolution of radionuclides from Cu in water and the colloid formation rates of the radionuclides were clarified under these conditions.

Tritium is not of concern for colloid formation in water. However, in the operation of cooling-water systems, 3H activity is a good indicator for radiation exposure history of water. Because both 3H and 7Be are mostly produced from O nuclei of water, cross-section ratio of 3H and 7Be in water is available for estimation of the residual rates of 7Be in the cooling water used in the operation of high-energy accelerator facilities. Therefore, in this study, we also measured the cross-section ratio of 3H and 7Be in the water for the future investigation.

II. Experiment

I. Target Preparation

Two 15 mm × 300 mm sheets were cut from a Cu foil (purity: 99.9% and thickness: 0.03 mm). The cut Cu foils were folded in a zigzag pattern and shaped into 15 mm × 14
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mm springs, as shown in Figure 1. The Cu foils were designated as Cu-T1 (weight: 1.16 g) and Cu-T2 (weight: 1.19 g) in this study. The thickness of the prepared Cu foils was 13.6 mg/cm².

The folded Cu foils were inserted into a 30-mL high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottle made by NALGENE, as shown in Figure 2. The HDPE bottle containing the Cu foils was filled with pure water. The weight of the water was 38.20 g. The Cu foils were immersed in the pure water 12.82 h before proton irradiation. The bottle was sealed in a polyethylene bag and used for proton irradiation.
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The HDPE bottle containing the Cu foils immersed in pure water was installed into the proton beamline at M01 of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab), USA. The sample was arranged so that the center of the proton beam would penetrate the center of the Cu foils, as shown in Fig. 2. The sample was irradiated with a 120-GeV proton beam for 3.250 h. The average intensity of the proton beam was measured using a beam current monitor to be 9.69 × 10⁸ protons/s. The size and position of the proton beam were previously measured by pre-irradiating a film that changed color when hit by the beam. The diameter of the beam was ~2.5 cm, which was larger than the Cu foils. A part of the proton beam passed through under the sample without irradiating it.
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The irradiated HDPE bottle was opened 50 min after of proton irradiation, and the sample was separated into several fractions within 40 min. The scheme of sample separation after proton irradiation and the fraction names are shown in Figure 3. After the separation, the activities of (1) radionuclides in the water, (2) radionuclides that formed ions and colloids in the water, (3) radionuclides in the Cu foil, and (4) ³H in the water were determined. Details on how each activity was determined are described in the following subsections.

The radioactivities of ⁷Be, ²⁴Na, ²⁸Mg, ³⁸S, ³⁹Cl, ⁴²K, ⁴³K, ⁴³mSc, ⁴⁶Sc, ⁴⁷Sc, ⁴⁸Sc, ⁴⁸Cr, ⁵²Mn, ⁵⁶Mn, ⁵²Fe, ⁵⁵Co, ⁵⁶Co, ⁵⁸Co, ⁵⁷Ni, and ⁶¹Cu in the fractions were determined by γ-ray spectrometry with an HPGe detector previously calibrated by Canberra. The detector efficiencies were determined by using Canberra’s LabSOCS software. Nuclear data in the literature were used for data analysis.

(1) Radionuclides in Water
From the proton-irradiated HDPE bottle, 15.0 mL of water was transferred into a 15-mL low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bottle by using a 5000-µL piston-driven air displacement pipette. The LDPE bottle was designated as Water-ini. In order to acidify the water, 0.100 mL of 6 M HNO₃ was added to Water-ini. The radioactivities at the end of proton irradiation (A₀) in Water-ini were then determined by γ-ray spectrometry.

(2) Radionuclides Forming Colloids and Ions in Water
An ultrafiltration unit (Sartorius Stedim, Vivaspin 20 ml, 10,000 MWCO) for concentration and/or purification of biological samples was used to separate colloids and ions in water. The ultrafiltration unit consisted of a concentrator (upper part) and filtrate vessel (lower part). The concentrator was equipped with two polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membranes (pore size: ~3 nm). In order to let all the water pass through the ultrafiltration membranes, a concentration pocket on the bottom of the concentrator was filled up with a Teflon bar. From the proton-irradiated HDPE bottle, 15.0 mL of water was then transferred into the concentrator of the ultrafiltration unit by using the 5000-µL piston-driven air displacement pipette. The ultrafiltration unit was centrifuged...
at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Colloids larger than ~3 nm were trapped by the ultrafiltration membranes, and the filtrate was collected in the filtrate vessel.

After centrifuging, the two ultrafiltration membranes were peeled off the unit with a cutter and transferred into a polyethylene bag. This sample was designated as Water-3nm. The $A_0$ in Water-3nm was determined by $\gamma$-ray spectrometry.

The filtrate collected in the filtrate vessel was transferred into a 15-mL LDPE bottle designated as Water-fil. In order to acidify the filtrate, 0.100 mL of 6 M HNO$_3$ was added to Water-fil. The $A_0$ in Water-fil was then determined by $\gamma$-ray spectrometry.

(3) Radionuclides in Cu Foils

The Cu foils were picked up from the HDPE bottle with tweezers. The Cu foil designated as Cu-T1 was wiped, dried, crushed flat, and sealed into a polyethylene bag. The $A_0$ in Cu-T1 was then determined by $\gamma$-ray spectrometry.

(4) Tritium in Water

The last fraction of Water-3H was used to measure $^3$H activity. For this, 2.5 mL of Water-3H was mixed with 10 mL of a scintillation cocktail. Radioactivity of the tritium was then determined by a liquid scintillation counter.

III. Results and Discussion

1. Dissolution Rates of Radionuclides from Cu

The total radioactivities in the water and Cu foils were estimated from $A_0$ measured in Water-ini and Cu-T1, respectively. The dissolution rates of the radionuclides from the Cu foils into the water were calculated by using these estimated total radioactivities.

The dissolution rates of the radionuclides (except for $^3$Be produced from O nuclei of water) from the Cu foils were plotted with the estimated recoil ejection rates, as shown in Figure 4. The closed squares indicate the dissolution rates measured in this study. The solid line indicates the trend of the measured dissolution rates obtained by the eye-guide. The open circles indicate ejection rates of the radionuclides from the Cu foils into the water as estimated from the recoil property of using the experimental mean ranges in a Cu target material ($2(W/F+1)B$), which was from the literature.

The measured dissolution rates of most of the radionuclides agreed with the ejection rates estimated by recoil. This indicates that the radionuclides were predominantly ejected from the Cu foils into the water by the physical phenomenon. On the other hand, the dissolution rates of $^{44m}$Sc, $^{46}$Sc, $^{47}$Sc, $^{48}$Sc, $^{48}$Cr, and $^{52}$Fe were much lower than the ejection rates estimated by recoil. These radionuclides must also be in the water according to the recoil property because the physical process cannot be avoided. However, the radionuclides were missing from the water. Under these conditions, the main body of the colloids may be copper hydroxides dissolved from the Cu foils. Therefore, the missing elements may be immediately adsorbed onto the Cu foils and/or HDPE bottle surface without adsorption onto the copper hydroxide colloids after ejection into the water. This behavior depends on elemental characteristics because all scandium isotopes were missing from the water together.

2. Colloid Formation Rates of Radionuclides in Water

Colloid rates of the radionuclides in water after proton irradiation were obtained by using $A_0$ in Water-3nm and Water-ini. Ion rates of the radionuclides in water after proton irradiation were obtained by using $A_0$ in Water-fil and Water-ini. Both the colloid rates and ion rates of $^{46}$Sc, $^{48}$Sc, $^{48}$Cr, and $^{52}$Fe could not be obtained since they were missing from the water. Figure 5 shows the colloid rates (gray bars) and ion rates (white bars) of the radionuclides in water. The sum of the measured colloid rate and ion rate for each radionuclide was approximately 100% within error. Therefore, the colloid and ion rates for each radionuclide were
were consistent with each other. The colloid rates of $^{28}$Mg, $^{52}$Mn, $^{56}$Mn, $^{55}$Co, $^{56}$Co, $^{58}$Co, $^{57}$Ni, and $^{61}$Cu—which are susceptible to hydroxides—were similar and showed relatively high rates of about 30%. The colloid rates of $^{44m}$Sc and $^{47}$Sc, which were one of the missing elements in the water, were quite higher than those for the others. The colloid rates of $^7$Be, $^{24}$Na, $^{42}$K, and $^{43}$K were found to be 1.8–3.9%. Although $^7$Be is also susceptible to hydroxides, the colloid rate was relatively low. However, the radioactivity of $^7$Be directly produced in cooling water is significantly higher than those of the others. Therefore, the colloid formation of $^7$Be causes serious problems for radioactivity collection despite the low colloid formation rates.

3. Cross-section Ratio of $^3$H and $^7$Be in Water

The cross-section ratio for $^3$H and $^7$Be productions ($^3$H/$^7$Be) in the water was measured as 6.1±0.3. This ratio is available for estimation of the residual rates of $^7$Be in cooling water used in the operation of high-energy accelerator facilities in the future investigation.

IV. Conclusions

In water with Cu foils irradiated by 120-GeV protons, most radionuclides were ejected from the Cu foils into the water by recoil. The Sc, Cr, and Fe isotopes were missing from the water even though they were ejected into the water. The colloid formation rates of the Mg, Mn, Co, Ni, and Cu isotopes were found to be about 30%. The colloid formation rates of $^7$Be, $^{24}$Na, $^{42}$K, and $^{43}$K were found to be 1.8–3.9%. We conclude that dissolution of radionuclides depends on a physical process and that radionuclide behavior after ejection into water strongly depends on chemical characteristics. Furthermore, the cross-section ratio of $^3$H and $^7$Be productions in water was found to be 6.1±0.3 in 120-GeV proton irradiation.
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