Assessment of Doses from External Exposure in Contaminated Areas Resulting from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident

Shogo TAKAHARA1*, Masanori KIMURA1, Sakae KINASE1, Jun ISHIKAWA1, Kenya SUYAMA1, Ryuuji HOsoyamada1 and Toshimitsu HOMMA1

1Nuclear Safety Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency

The Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident caused a release of radioactive material into the atmosphere and subsequent radioactive contamination of the environment. In the present study, doses from external exposure due to the deposited radionuclides were assessed based on compositions of the released radionuclides taking into account short-lived and long-lived ones. The assessment was performed on the assumption that dose rates would decrease with the radioactive decay as well as weathering effects. The compositions of released radionuclides were evaluated by source terms analysis which consisted in evaluating the released fractions to the initial inventories in the reactor core. As a result, it was confirmed that the doses evaluated in the present study were in good agreement with the measured ones in the northwest area from the nuclear power plant. In addition, it was found that 132Te and its progeny nuclide, 132I, were dominant contributors to cumulative doses in the early phase after the accident. Following the decay of these short-lived radionuclides, long-lived 134Cs and 137Cs were the dominant ones.
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I. Introduction

The accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) caused the extensive radioactive contamination. In particular, the radioactive materials with high concentrations were observed in the northwest area from the NPP, which includes Namie town, Iitate village, Kawamata town, Fukushima city, etc.

Dose assessment is one of the most important processes to identify actions to be taken to reduce exposure in contaminated areas. Doses to inhabitants living in contaminated areas should be assessed retrospectively and prospectively taking into account contributions of short-lived and long-lived radionuclides from various exposure pathways. External exposure has occurred by cloudshine during radioactive plume passage and groundshine due to deposited radionuclides in the contaminated area after the accident.[1]

In the present study were assessed external doses due to deposited radionuclides, which constitute one of the most important exposure pathways. The information on long-lived radionuclides deposited on the ground is available from environmental measurements. On the other hand, it is practically difficult to reflect the contributions of various short-lived radionuclides to the dose assessment. Therefore, in order to take the contributions of short-lived radionuclides into account in the dose assessment, the information of the released radioactivity was used. This information was obtained from an initial inventory in the reactor core evaluated and released fractions of the radionuclides into the atmosphere published.

II. Methods

1. The description of radioactive contamination in the northwest area of the Nuclear Power Plant

The radioactive contamination in the northwest area occurred on 15-16 March, 2011. During this period, two major incidents took place in the NPP. On 15 March, a blast sound from Unit 2 and a subsequent suppression pool pressure rapidly decreased. A few hours after this incident, an explosion occurred in the reactor building at Unit 4 where the spent fuel storage pool is located.

In the present study, because the short-lived radionuclides were detected in the contaminated area, dose assessment was performed on the assumption that the radioactive plume was released from Unit 2. The radioactive plume released from Unit 2 moved towards the northwest area and the contamination occurred as a result of the deposition of radioactive material from this plume.

The radioactive contamination levels of the environment in the northwest area were measured by a national authority and these measurements are available from the web site[2]. Ambient dose rates measured at Namie town, Kawamata town and Fukushima city were used in the present study. Locations of each sampling point are shown in Fig. 1. The distances from the NPP to each sampling point are approximately 20 to 60 km.

2. Released fractions and the inventory of the reactor core

The report of Japanese Government to the IAEA Ministerial Conference on Nuclear Safety[3] indicates the fractions of radionuclides to the initial inventory released from Unit 2 for five accident scenarios obtained from the
analyses by Tokyo Electric Power Company (TEPCO) and Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization (JNES). Table 1 shows the fractions of each radionuclide group to the initial inventory for the five accident scenarios.

The initial inventory in the reactor core of Unit 2 was evaluated based on the information on its operating history, number of fuel assemblies and burn-up of fuels. This information is available from the records of periodic inspections of Unit 2. Table 2 shows the information used for this evaluation. In calculating the inventories was used the ORIGEN2.2UPJ code, which is a computer code system for evaluating the buildup and the radioactive decay of approximately 1000 isotopes in the nuclear reactor core. A data of the radioactive decay constants, STEP-III fuel assembly was selected assuming the averaged void fraction of 40%. Data of the radioactive decay constants was assumed in the evaluation.

44 radionuclides into account. Since the power history of each assembly, however, the inventories of the fission products

\[ E(T) = \int_0^T \left( D(t) \cdot F \cdot \sum_j S_j \cdot B_j \right) \, dt, \quad (1) \]

where

\[ D(t) : \text{Ambient dose rate in } t \text{ days after the deposition (\text{\(\mu\text{Sv/h}\))));} \]
\[ F : \text{Conversion coefficient from ambient dose rate to effective dose}; \]
\[ S_j : \text{Shielding factor at location } j; \]
\[ B_j : \text{Occupancy factor at location } j. \]

In the present study, it was assumed that the irradiations have occurred in Antero-Posterior (AP) geometry, hence, 0.92 is adopted for the conversion coefficient \(F\). Shielding factor \(S_j\) is the ratio of the ambient doses at location \(j\) and at the outdoor for adults. The factor was used for indoor, \(S_{\text{indoor}}\) of a typical house 0.4 \(^6\) and for outdoor, \(S_{\text{outdoor}}\) of 1.0. Occupancy factor is the fraction of time spent at location. On the assumption that the inhabitants would have spend 16 hours in a house and 8 hours outdoor, \(B_{\text{indoor}}\) and \(B_{\text{outdoor}}\) was 2/3 and 1/3, respectively.

Here, the ambient dose rate \(t\) days after the deposition, \(D_i(t)\), is expressed by:

\[ D(t) = \sum_i D_i(t). \quad (2) \]

The ambient dose rate due to the deposited radionuclide \(i\) in \(t\) days after the deposition is given by the following equation:

\[ D_i(t) = D_i(0) \cdot f \cdot \exp(-\lambda_i \cdot t), \quad (3) \]

\(1\) Release fractions of each radionuclide based on the source terms analyses\(^3\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide group</th>
<th>Representative radionuclide</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>Cs-Rb</th>
<th>Te-Sb</th>
<th>Sr-Ba</th>
<th>Ru</th>
<th>La</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident scenarios</td>
<td>TEPCO-1</td>
<td>3.80\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>3.40\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>4.20\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>4.90\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>7.60\times10^{-11}</td>
<td>6.50\times10^{-15}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEPCO-2*</td>
<td>5.70\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>5.80\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>3.00\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>2.60\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.40\times10^{-10}</td>
<td>8.40\times10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case-1**</td>
<td>1.30\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>4.60\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.50\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.30\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.00\times10^{-11}</td>
<td>1.50\times10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case-2**</td>
<td>3.90\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>3.80\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>5.10\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>2.90\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.10\times10^{-11}</td>
<td>1.10\times10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case-3**</td>
<td>4.10\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.90\times10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.50\times10^{-2}</td>
<td>4.00\times10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.60\times10^{-11}</td>
<td>1.20\times10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*evaluated by TEPCO,  **evaluated by JNES
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is proposed by Gale and assumed to be described as the two-exponential model which describes the decay of radionuclides into the atmosphere. The initial composition of released radioactivities from the reactor core is characterized by the decay of short-lived radionuclides such as $^{131}$I and $^{132}$I and $^{132}$Te and its progeny such as $^{132}$Ba into account. One should note that the magnitudes of the initial values of the assessed dose rates for each accident scenario are normalized for the measured value of this sampling point at the initial time in accordance with the equation (4). Table 3 shows the compositions of representative radionuclides given by the ratio of the activity of each radionuclide to $^{137}$Cs at 00:00 on 16 March. These compositions were calculated using the results of source terms analysis by TEPCO and JNES and the initial inventory in the reactor core. The activities of the short-lived radionuclides such as $^{131}$I and $^{140}$La were derived from those of parent nuclides assuming radioactive equilibriums.

The time variations of the dose rates derived from the composition of radionuclides for the TEPCO-1, Case-2 and Case-3 scenarios agreed with the measured ones during almost 500 hours after the contamination occurred. The time variations of these scenarios in this phase were strongly influenced by the contributions of $^{132}$Te and its progeny nuclide to the dose rates. On the other hand, the time variations of the dose rates calculated based on the compositions of radionuclides for the TEPCO-2 and Case-1 scenarios were not able to reproduce the time variation of the measured ones. The ratio of released activity for the TEPCO-2 and Case-1 scenario was characterized by low concentrations of the released activity of $^{132}$Te into the atmosphere, which is less than a half compared with the

### Table 3 Preliminary estimated compositions of released activity normalized by $^{137}$Cs for representative radionuclides on 16-March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>Radionuclide group</th>
<th>Accident scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{131}$I</td>
<td>8.05 d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TEPCO-1 7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{132}$Te</td>
<td>3.25 d</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>TEPCO-2 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{132}$Cs</td>
<td>2.05 y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Case-1 19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{137}$Cs</td>
<td>30.1 y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Case-2 6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{140}$Ba</td>
<td>12.8 d</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Case-3 7.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Results and Discussion

#### 1. Comparisons of the measured dose rates with the assessed values

Figure 2 shows the results of comparisons of the time variations of the assessed dose rates with the measured ones at the sampling point located in Namie town at the distance 30 km from the NPP. The time variations of the dose rates shown in this figure are estimated based on the compositions of radionuclides for the five accident scenarios taking 44
others. This result indicates that the contributions of short-lived radionuclides such as $^{132}$Te and its progeny nuclide to the dose rate are necessary to describe the time variation of the dose rate during the early phase after the deposition.

Figure 3 shows the results of comparisons of the time variations of the measured dose rates with the assessed ones which were derived from the composition of radionuclides based on the TEPCO-1 scenario. The time variations of the assessed values were in good agreement with the measured ones. Consequently, it was found that the model used in the present study was validated for assessing the time variations of the dose rates in the northwest area from the NPP. In addition, the time variations of the dose rates had no significant difference among the sampling points in the northwest areas, because of applying the composition for the TEPCO-1 scenario in common to all the sampling points.

2. Contribution of each radionuclide to the cumulative dose

Figure 4 illustrates the contributions of individual radionuclides to the cumulative dose after the contamination occurred on 16 March, which were calculated for the TEPCO-1 scenario. The total contributions of $^{131}$I, $^{132}$Te and its progeny nuclide, $^{132}$I, in 10 days and a month were approximately 70 % and 50 %, respectively. It is apparent that the contribution of these short-lived radionuclides is dominant in the early phase after the accident. Following decay of the short-lived radionuclides, $^{134}$Cs and $^{137}$Cs become the dominant contributors to the cumulative dose. The contribution of radioactive cesium to the cumulative dose for the first year is above 80 %.

IV. Conclusions

Doses from external exposure due to deposited radionuclides were assessed taking the contributions of short-lived radionuclides into account. The radionuclide compositions were obtained from the initial inventory in the reactor core evaluated and the released fractions published. The time variations of the assessed dose rates were in good agreement with the measured ones. Consequently, it is found that the model used in the present study is useful for assessment of doses from external exposure due to deposited radionuclides. The results of the dose assessment indicate that the short-lived radionuclides such as $^{132}$Te and $^{132}$I were dominant contributors to the cumulative dose during the first month after the deposition. After the decay of the short-lived radionuclides, long-lived $^{134}$Cs and $^{137}$Cs were the dominant contributors to the cumulative dose.

These results are based on the current information on accident scenarios and environmental data. Further considerations will be needed to estimate doses taking the latest information into account. In particular, it should be noted that the impacts of the release from the reactors to the contamination of the environment cannot clearly distinguish between Unit 2 and Unit 4, and it is also difficult to determine the cause of the contamination based on the current information. In addition, assessment of doses from radioactive plumes in the early phase after the accident is an important issue to estimate doses from external exposure more accurately.
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