Monte Carlo Shielding Calculations for a Spent Fuel Transport Cask with Automated Monte Carlo Variance Reduction
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For the purpose of performing reasonable shielding calculation of a spent fuel transport cask, the use of Monte Carlo methods has been proposed for solving the radiation transport problem on a detailed structure of the transport cask considering fixed neutron sources. A SMIRE (Simplified MCNP-ANISN W Variance Reduction) system has been developed in the present study, which is possible to generate automatically the lower weight boundary of the weight window for each mesh based on the Consistent Adjoint Driven Importance Sampling (CADIS). Compared with the case of the importance based on the empirical formula, the figure of merit is increased by a factor of 25. In this system, it is possible to calculate the weight suitable for the distantly-positioned detector point from the fuel effective region to introduce the relaxation factor which relaxes the increase of the particle numbers at the boundary of weight window meshes that are generally caused by the large attenuation of adjoint flux. This system is used for a variety of the radiation transport problems as well as the transport cask.
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I. Introduction

The neutron flux distribution of some complicated shielding structures can be determined precisely by solving the integral Boltzmann transport equation in the Monte Carlo method. Additionally, the Monte Carlo method with continuous-energy cross-section data was considered to be the most accurate method for performing these shielding analyses. Moreover, the use of the Monte Carlo method is exempt from constructing space-energy-angle grids for modeling problems, and hence, no discretization errors are introduced into solving problems. Therefore, the Monte Carlo simulation is relatively straightforward in both physics and geometry modeling.

However, it is difficult to solve radiation transport calculations in practical problems with thick shielding and large source volume by the Monte Carlo method. Thick shielding results in significant attenuation of radiation intensity from the source to the tally. Large source volume causes an additional self-shielding effect for the inner source region. These situations make it more difficult to do sampling effectively of the source variables. These arguments point to a need for the non-analog Monte Carlo techniques are indispensably. Actually, they have been used with great care to prevent getting unreliable results.

To date, a large number of the non-analog Monte Carlo techniques has been developed and improved. In the non-analog Monte Carlo techniques, particles have the statistical weights. The statistical weight of the particle is reduced through physical events such as collision and absorption. The tracking on the particle is continued until the particle is eliminated from the considered region or until the tracking on the particle reached the number of the particles that have already been set in the calculation. In order to execute simulation with non-analog Monte Carlo techniques efficiently, importance sampling is indispensable. In importance sampling, importance functions are selected for suitable variance reduction. After that, many random walks are rationally executed in the objective phase space (space and energy). In particular, a weight window importance parameter consists of upper and lower boundary for a particle’s statistical weight in each phase space region. In the weight window method, the lower weight boundary of each cell which composes the calculation model is set so that the collision density of the particles entering each cell is kept constant. In order to set the variance reduction parameter appropriately, particles entering each cell are to be split or Russian-rouletted correctly.

In the past, the lower weight boundary of the weight window has been determined from the experiences of the Monte Carlo practitioners. This way may lead to inefficient variance reduction parameters of the calculations. For example, if the statistical weights of the source particles are not within the weight window, the particles are split or Russian-rouletted immediately in an effort to bring their weights into the weight window. This event results in unnecessary degradation in computational efficiency. Therefore, respective techniques must be invariably consistent with one another when we conduct the shielding analysis with some variance reduction techniques.

The purpose of the present study is to perform, compare...
and analyze the shielding calculations for a transport cask in detail with the variance reduction technique based on the Consistent Adjoint Driven Importance Sampling (CADIS) methodology and the empirical formula. CADIS methodology makes use of the adjoint function that is associated with particle importance which is the contribution of a particle with respect to the objective. The Monte Carlo method with variance reduction shown in the present study should be helpful to those in performing calculations for similar some shielding structures.

II. SMIRE System

1. Description of the SMIRE System

Figure 1 shows the schematic flow of the SMIRE System to generate the lower weight boundary of the weight window.

MCNP4C code is provided with “superimposed importance mesh” which can create the space partition based on mesh that is independent of the geometrical cell. In the present study, the mesh-based weight window parameter generation system has been developed. In this system, complicated cell partitions for the variance reduction are not necessarily required. The lower weight boundary of the weight window in each mesh is determined as follows:

a) Each coordinate of the superimposed importance meshes is calculated from the mesh information in the MCNP input data.

b) Each of these adjoint fluxes in the superimposed importance meshes is calculated by one-dimensional deterministic code, MCNP-ANISN_W. This code makes it possible to solve the one-dimensional neutron transport problem specified by MCNP input data. Figure 2 shows the flow of the MCNP-ANISN_W:

![Fig. 1 Calculation flow of the lower weight boundary of the weight window and forward calculation](image)

![Fig. 2 Flowchart of the MCNP-ANISN_W calculation](image)

(1) A detector locating point is arranged at the center of each mesh which constitutes the geometric form described in MCNP input.

(2) The location of the particle production is stochastically decided from the source region and the source strength distribution described in MCNP input.

(3) Particle energy is stochastically decided from the distribution of source energy spectrum described in MCNP input.

(4) The distance in a straight line between the location of the particle production and the detector location is decided from the geometry data described in MCNP input.

(5) The coordinate of the intersection between the straight line and each cell boundary is decided from the geometry data described in MCNP input.

(6) The one-dimensional model for the deterministic Sn transport code is made from the calculation conditions acquired from the process (2) to (5). The transport calculation is performed by ANISN-W code.

(7) Calculation conditions for ANISN-W are as follows:

Basic geometry form: sphere
Source: Shell source problem
Left boundary condition: reflection
Right boundary condition: vacuum (no reflection)
Outer iteration: 1
(8) The adjoint flux in a certain mesh is obtained by one-dimensional transport calculation.
(9) The adjoint flux of each mesh is obtained by the process from (2) to (8).
(10) The process of the adjoint flux calculation in a certain mesh from (2) to (9) is iterated by the number of particle histories.
(11) The end result of the adjoint flux in a certain mesh is obtained averaged over the number of particle histories.
(12) The adjoint fluxes in all of the mesh are obtained.
c) The code-generated adjoint flux obtained from b) is normalized for each phase space by the adequate method.
d) The reciprocal of the adjoint flux is the lower weight boundary of the weight window of each superimposed importance mesh.

2. Calculation on Weight Window by the SMIRE System
The weight window is a variance reduction scheme in which each region of phase space \( i \) is assigned an upper and lower weight boundary.\(^{14}\) Particles entering a phase space region with a weight outside the boundaries are either split or Russian-rotulled which is performed to bring their weights into conformity. The weight window can be used in any dimension of phase space. The lower weight boundary of the weight window \( W_L \) for spatial mesh \( i \) and energy group \( g \) are calculated from the adjoint flux as follows:\(^{15}\)

\[
W_L(i,g) = \frac{C}{\int_{V_i} \phi^\dagger(r,E) n^w dE}, \quad (1)
\]

where \( \phi^\dagger(r,E) \) is the adjoint flux at point \( r \) and energy \( E \), \( V_i \) and \( E_g \) represent the volume of spatial mesh \( i \) and energy group \( g \), and \( C \) is the constant for normalization, making \( W_L \) in the source regions to be a half of the biased weight of source particle. With finite weight window mesh sizes, significant increase of the particle numbers at the boundary of the weight window meshes is generally caused by the large attenuation of adjoint flux. In this case, lowered efficiency of calculations occurs with an increase of CPU time per history. Therefore, the relaxation factor,\(^{15}\) \( n_r \) is introduced to improve the calculation efficiency for these cases.

The lower weight boundary of the weight window must be set close to 1.0 near the cell or mesh of the source region because the particle’s statistical weight just after being emitted from the source is equal to 1.0. The constant \( C \) in Eq. (1) is the adjusting parameter of the reciprocal of the importance. In the present study, the constant \( C \) is decided as follows.

The adjoint flux at energy group \( g \) averaged over the real source region is given by Eq. (2).

\[
\bar{\phi}^\dagger(E_g) = \frac{1}{V_i} \sum_i \phi_i^\dagger(E_g) \quad (2)
\]

Where, \( V_i \) and \( E_g \) represent the volume of spatial mesh \( i \) and energy group \( g \), respectively. \( \phi_i^\dagger(E_g) \) is the adjoint flux at energy group \( g \) and spatial mesh \( i \).

Here, the lower weight boundary of the weight window is set by the use of \( \bar{\phi}^\dagger(E_g) \) as follows: Let the energy group corresponding to the maximum of the source energy spectrum distribution before biasing be \( E_{\text{def}} \). The lower weight boundary of the weight window corresponding to the energy \( E_{\text{def}} \), was assumed to be the standard of the lower weight boundary of the weight windows of other energy groups; the lower weight boundary of the weight window on the source region was set as the reciprocal of the adjoint flux at the energy \( E_{\text{def}} \) averaged over the real source region, \( 1/\bar{\phi}^\dagger(E_{\text{def}}) = 0.5 \). In the case of the transport cask such as containing the spent fuels, the energy group \( E_{\text{def}} \) is 1.11-1.83 MeV with the energy group structure of DLC-23/CASK.\(^{16}\)

III. Validation of SMIRE System
The SMIRE system is tested by applying to an analytical model of a cask, as shown in Fig. 3. The model was prepared in considerable detail, especially in the fuel basket. The main specifications of the cask are as follows; total weight is 115.0 tons, outer diameter is 2.6 m and height is 6.3 m, main structure is carbon steel, the fuel basket is composed of stainless steel both with and without boron, lead is used for a gamma-ray shield and NS-4-FR resin is used for a neutron shield, and it has cooling fins made of stainless steel. It is possible to install 14 bundles of pressurized water reactor spent-fuel assemblies.\(^{17-18}\) Figure 3 also shows the source region for the calculation of the adjoint flux. Since the source intensity of neutrons in a spent fuel assembly depends strongly on the specific burn-up distribution in the axial direction, the burn-up distribution was taken into account in the present calculation. A peaking factor of 1.15 was assumed for the middle part of 10/12 of all fuel assemblies. The effective multiplication factor, \( k_{\text{eff}} \), of the cask containing 14 PWR assemblies was calculated by the KENO
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Fig. 4 Comparison of adjoint flux at energy 14.9 MeV and 1.11 MeV

V.a code, and a $k_{eff}$ of 0.63 was used to obtain the neutron source intensity of the cask.

DLC-23/CASK library was used for all adjoint flux calculations. The weight window parameters, source energy biasing and the dose-rate conversion factor were given in the energy group structure of DLC-23/CASK library. The dose-rate conversion factor was used for the energy spectrum for the calculation of the adjoint flux. In addition, the final Monte-Carlo shielding calculation was performed with the Japanese Evaluated Nuclear Data Library, JENDL-3.3.

Figure 4 shows the calculation result of the adjoint flux for each mesh of the calculation model with a different number of particle histories (3,000, 5,000 and 10,000, respectively). The fuel effective region was equally divided into three in accordance with axial direction. The detector location was set at $(x, y, z) = (0,135,0)$. As shown Fig. 4, number of particle histories has an insignificant effect on the calculation result of the adjoint flux above 14 MeV. On the other hand, it is shown that the distribution of the adjoint flux below 1.11 MeV depends strongly on number of particle histories. Analysis shows that number of particle histories requires at least 10,000 to calculate the adjoint flux of each mesh in the shielding configuration such as the transport cask. Therefore, the adjoint flux was conservatively calculated with a history number of 10,000 for the transport cask.

IV. Results and Discussion

In the present study, the relaxation factor $n_r$ was introduced, which relaxes the attenuation of the adjoint flux among adjacent meshes:

$$W_i^{low} \propto \frac{1}{\phi^t} \rightarrow (W_i^{low})^{n_r} \propto \left[\frac{1}{\phi^t}\right]^{n_r}, n_r \leq 1.0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where, $W_i^{low}$ is the lower weight boundary and $\phi^t$ is the adjoint flux.

The calculation of the lower weight boundary was performed in cases of $n_r = 1.0, 0.5, 0.3$, respectively, and then the shielding calculation was performed by using these lower weight boundaries of the weight window. The detector location was set at $(x, y, z) = (0,135,0)$.

Figure 5 shows the result of the computational efficiency (Figure of Merit: FoM) and the fractional standard deviation. For a detector point near the axial center of the fuel effective length in the transport cask, the computational efficiency with the relaxation factor $n_r = 1.0$ is better than with $n_r < 1.0$. It is shown that the calculation is correctly performed for transport processes based on the deterministic adjoint flux obtained from these results.

The computational efficiency based on the lower weight boundary of the weight window that was generated by the SMIRE system was compared to that based on the importance that is based on the empirical formula. The calculation result is shown in Fig. 6. The horizontal axis is the spatial coordinates $(x, y, z)$ and the longitudinal axis is the FoM or the fractional standard deviation. This figure shows that the computational efficiency based on the adjoint flux by using the SMIRE system is better than that based on the empirical formula at the center of the fuel effective length. Compared with the case of the importance based on the empirical formula, the figure of merit is increased by a factor of 25.

The computational efficiency is very low at the point away from the fuel effective length. Here, the calculation was performed by the lower weight boundary of the weight window based on the adjoint flux obtained from the adjoint source arranged around the top-end of the transport cask. The detector location was set at $(x, y, z) = (0,135,0)$. Figure 7 shows the calculation result. As shown in Fig. 7, in the
The adjoint flux is corrected appropriately by the relaxation factor, which is useful for the variance reduction. As a result, the figure of merit is increased by a factor of 50 compared to the case based on the empirical formula. The SMIRE system is useful for the calculation of the dose rate for any point around the cask regardless of experience of the practitioner.

V. Conclusion

This study demonstrated the variance reduction with the adjoint flux calculated with one-dimensional discrete ordinate method for the Monte Carlo shielding calculations of the spent fuel transport cask. The SMIRE system has been developed for automatically calculating the parameters of weight window and source biasing for precise shielding calculations. Compared with the case of the importance based


case of optimum relaxation factor is 0.5, the figure of merit is increased by a factor of 50 compared to the case based on the empirical formula. This is because the SMIRE system makes the one-dimensional model, the straight line distant from the neutron generation location in the source region to the point detector. In the SMIRE system, it is possible to calculate a distantly-positioned detector point from the fuel effective region to introduce the relaxation factor. If the geometric condition can be modeled by one-dimensional form such as the side surface of the cask, the adjoint flux which is useful for the variance reduction is obtained without respect to the relaxation factor ($n_f = 1.0$). If the detector is located in the side part of the transport cask, and at some distance from the both ends of the fuel effective length shown in Fig. 6, the use of the one-dimensional model has limited flexibility. In the case of the spent fuel transport cask discussed in this paper, the limitation of the one-dimensional model is relaxed effectively by the relaxation factor such as $n_f = 0.5$. The adjoint flux is corrected appropriately by the relaxation factor, which is useful for the variance reduction. The optimum value of the relaxation factor for the spent fuel transport cask is obtained on a case-by-case basis. Each spent fuel transport cask has similarities with the geometric condition can be modeled by one-dimensional model. The SMIRE system has been developed for automatically calculating the parameters of weight window and source biasing for precise shielding calculations. Compared with the case of the importance based


the empirical formula, the figure of merit is increased by a factor of 25. If the detector is located in the side part of the transport cask, and at some distance from the both ends of the fuel effective length, the limitation of the one-dimensional model is relaxed effectively by the relaxation factor such as $n_f = 0.5$. The adjoint flux is corrected appropriately by the relaxation factor, which is useful for the variance reduction. As a result, the figure of merit is increased by a factor of 50 compared to the case based on the empirical formula. The SMIRE system is useful for the calculation of the dose rate for any point around the cask regardless of experience of the practitioner.
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